



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,361	08/31/2001	James Robert Kitchen	5681-03900	2095

7590 06/11/2004

B. Noel Kivlin
Conley, Rose, & Tayon, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, TX 78767

EXAMINER

PAIK, STEVE S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2876

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/945,361	Applicant(s) KITCHEN, JAMES	
	Examiner Steven S. Paik	Art Unit 2876	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Steven S. Paik. (3) ____.
- (2) Mr. Russell Henrichs, Reg. No. 50,354. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 June 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8,12,21,30-34 and 40.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed that cited prior art of record does not teach a device receiver having a portion being configured to enable a restraint to engage an opening in the device which is external to a housing. Furthermore, the examiner identified a few informalities in claims 12, 21, 31-34, and 40 and provided suggestions to overcome the informalities. The applicant's representative acknowledged and would discuss about the informalities with the applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required